Engaging Net Generation Learners by Incorporating Their “Hot Topics” into the Classroom

Thanks to the continuing emergence of information-sharing technology, our students have so much knowledge available at their fingertips that it is sometimes hard to feel like we can teach them anything new. Milliron (2008) determined that as of 2008, Net Generation Learners (Oblinger and Oblinger, 2005), or “Net Gen’ers” (students who were born in the 1980’s or later; the generation that encompasses the majority of our students today) are online an average of 12.2 hours a week, and those 12.2 hours often occur simultaneously with hours watching television, instant messaging, emailing, blogging, etc. Net Gen’ers are known as the “we” generation, as opposed to the “me” Generation X’ers, or the family oriented Baby Boomers (Milliron, 2008). The “we” mentality is present everywhere they go, including in their learning environments. Net Gen’ers appreciate when their learning is experiential (Oblinger and Oblinger, 2005) or at the very least, engaging. They want to feel like they are helping to drive the direction of the course and they need to have their thoughts and opinions heard.

In an effort to help engage the Net Gen’ers in my Introduction to Food Science and Human Nutrition Course (FSHN 101), “hot topic” cards were utilized at the start of the Fall 2008 semester. Variations of “hot topic” cards are used throughout academia, and their purposes vary almost as much as their appearance. The “hot topic” card that used for FSHN 101 is shown in Figure 1. It is a very simple card that asked the students to describe a hot topic related to the food industry that they would like to learn more about during the semester. FSHN 101 is an introductory course that is composed of four main sections: health and nutrition, food chemistry and composition, food microbiology and processing, and food laws, quality, and the consumer. Students were asked to complete their “hot topic” cards on the first day of class and they were encouraged to pull their topics from any of the course’s four sections.

Twenty-eight “hot topic” cards were turned in, which accounted for two-thirds of the 42 students in the course. Since this was not a graded assignment, nor did the students receive any extra credit for
turning in a card, this impressive response rate indicated that the majority of the class felt that this was a way for their thoughts to be heard, or in other words, a way for them to be engaged in their learning. The students’ willingness to participate in the “hot topic” experiment encouraged the author to try very hard to incorporate the hottest of hot topics (topics mentioned by multiple students) into the course, and additional topics, too, time permitting.

After obtaining and organizing the cards, the general breakdown of the topics requested was shared with the class, and the “hot topics” were highlighted whenever they surfaced throughout the course. The number one requested “hot topic” centered on learning about a “diet that worked.” The nutritional needs of an individual are specific to that individual, and a “diet that works” isn’t something that should be advertised in an introductory food science and human nutrition course. The course did cover the topic of diet and smart choices, though, so the idea of a “developing a diet that is right for you” was incorporated into the “nutritional adequacy and the body; macronutrients; micronutrients; the Dietary Guidelines for Americans; and the Food Guide Pyramid” lectures.

Another popular “hot topic” was the genetically modified organism versus organic foods debate. A lecture on “genetically modified organisms” was already slated for the course during the food microbiology and processing section, but since this was a topic of interest for a number of the students in the course, the author decided that a simple lecture about the history of GMO’s probably would not suffice. Instead, Dr. Bruce Chassy, Executive Associate Director of the Biotechnology Center at the University of Illinois, was invited to provide an interactive lecture on the genetically modified organism-organic foods debate. Students gained insightful knowledge about both GMO’s and organic foods, and they learned how to better evaluate the information provided in the popular press about both topics.

Other “hot topics” that were covered during the semester were: how to read and understand the nutritional facts label, acid reflux, soft drinks and obesity, ethanol production, food borne illness outbreaks, the impact of food processing on food quality, and nutrition bars. Truthfully, all of the topics on this list, except for nutrition bars, were topics originally slated for the course. For the lectures that focused on these topics, the number of interactive activities planned were increased in hopes to better engage the students who identified these “hot topics.” The decision to cover nutrition bars, too, presented itself for two reasons: 1) the nutrition bar industry is interestingly popular to a diverse consumer group and 2) the author had a peer that used to work in a nutrition bar group for a major food company. The industry representative was invited to FSHN 101, and she was specifically introduced to the student who suggested the topic. The presentation on nutrition bars engaged all of the students in a thorough discussion about the nutrition bar industry, particularly how individual bars meet the needs of individual consumers. The industry representative also brought some popular nutrition bar products for the students to sample, and as we all know, free food always helps engage students in the learning process. At the end of the presentation, the student who initiated the “hot topic” expressed his gratitude the industry representative for the information. The student also displayed his gratitude by becoming a more engaged student, earning consistently higher grades on quizzes and exams and earning a top grade in the course.

The FSHN 101 “hot topic” cards were utilized for an introductory level course, but “hot topic” cards could be easily incorporated into courses at any level, including the higher level, “traditional” food science courses, such as food chemistry and food microbiology. Evaluating case studies is an excellent instructional tool used in the higher level courses. Identifying case study topics that interest the students through the use of “hot topic” cards is just one way to utilize the cards in the traditional courses. The cards can also be used to identify subject matter interests within a course. Student research groups can be formed and course research projects can be assigned based on similar interests, which should engage and motivate learners at any level.

Using “hot topic” cards provided FSHN 101 students with a way for them to help drive the direction of the course, and in return, they allowed the students to be engaged in the learning process. As Oblinger and Oblinger (2005) identified, Net Gen’ers require their learning to be experiential and engaging, and utilizing “hot topic” cards is an easy way to fulfill that need.
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